

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,289	11/02/2001	E. Jean Harper	P01910US0	9277
7	590 02/26/2003			
Jan K Simpson			EXAMINER	
Fulbright & Jav 1301 McKinne	y Suite 5100		EVANS, CH	IARESSE L
Houston, TX 77010-3095			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/890,289	HARPER, E. JEAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Charesse L. Evans	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 C	October 2002 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 48-54 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>48-54</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Action Summary

Acknowledgement is made of the cancellation of claims 1-47.

Acknowledgement is made that applicants have amended the claims herein to present claims that are substantially similar to the subject matter claimed in US 6,310,090, which was issued on October 30, 2001.

Acknowledgement is made of applicant's request for declaration of interference, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.607, of the above captioned patent application and US 6,310,090.

Claims 48-54 of this application have been copied by the applicant from U. S. Patent No. 6,310,090. These claims are not patentable to the applicant because of the rejection as set forth below.

An interference cannot be initiated since a prerequisite for interference under 37 CFR 1.606 is that the claim be patentable to the applicant subject to a judgment in the interference.

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "about" in claim 53 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Correction is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 1615

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 48-52 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hayek (US 6,310,090,). The claims are directed to the maintenance, improvement and enhancement of the immune system and response of the companion animal.

Hayek teaches a process for feeding a companion animal such as a dog a diet containing an effective amount of a combination of antioxidants to enhance immune response and improve the overall health of the animal (Abstract). The reference additionally discloses a process for optimizing immune cells in a dog and for optimizing vaccine recognition in a dog comprising the step of feeding said dog a diet containing an effective amount of a combination of vitamin E, lutein and beta-carotene (claims 7 and 8).

The Hayek diet can be any suitable pet food formula which also provides adequate nutrition for the animal. For example, a typical canine diet for use in the disclosed invention may contain about 18-40% crude protein, about 4-30% fat and about 4-20% dietary fiber (column 2, lines 60-64).

Art Unit: 1615

Hayek teaches all of the limitations of the claims, thus Hayek anticipates claims 48-52 and 54.

It should be noted that in order to obviate the 102(e) rejection as set forth above, applicant can submit a declaration. A declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 is not sufficient. A declaration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.608(a) or (b) will be required. See MPEP 2308.02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayek (US 6,310,090). In view of the discussion above, Hayek further teaches a pet food product for enhancing immune response in a companion animal, said pet food product comprising a pet food composition containing an effective amount of a combination of about 175 to 400 IU of vitamin E per kilogram of diet, from about 1 to about 50 mg/day of lutein and from about 1 to 50 mg/day of beta-carotene (claim 1). While the reference does not expressly teach the claimed concentrations of

Art Unit: 1615

vitamin E, lutein or beta-carotene, differences in concentration will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration is critical. Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the concentration of the essential components of the claimed pet food via experimentation with the expectation of developing a product that provides optimum health benefits to the target subject.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charesse L. Evans whose telephone number is 703-308-6400. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00a - 4:30p; Alternating Fridays 7:00a - 3:30p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1615

Page 7

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Charesse L. Evans Examiner Art Unit 1615 February 24, 2003

JAMES M. SPEAR
PRIMARY EXAMINER

4 4 1 6/5